

OPENCHAIN Specification

Version 2.0 (DRAFT) rc3 (marked up)

Establishing trust in the Open Source from which Software Solutions are built

DRAFT: This is the draft of the next version 2.0 of the OpenChain specification. Changes made over the previous 1.2 version can be found in this draft. Section 0 provides a summary of the high level changes made.



Contents

0) What Changed Log (temporary section)	3
1) Introduction	4
2) Definitions	
3) Requirements	8
1.0 Program Foundation	8
2.0 Relevant Tasks Defined and Supported	11
3.0 Open Source Content Review and Approval	13
4.0 Compliance Artifact Creation and Delivery	14
5.0 Understanding Open Source Community Engagements	15
6.0 Adherence to the Specification Requirements	17
Appendix I: Language Translations	18

Copyright © 2016-2019 Linux Foundation. This document is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY—BY-4.0) license. A copy of the license can be found at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



0) What Changed Log (temporary section)

We provide a summary of changes made to version 1.2 of the Specification. This is a temporary section that will be removed in the final version. The updates include:

- Changed version number from 1.2 to 2.0
- Overhauled the Introduction section to make it more concise and up to date.
- Overhauled the training section to move from a more prescriptive to a less prescriptive
 requirement. Now gives more flexibility to an organization to define the roles and responsibilities
 and how to ensure those fulfilling the roles are properly trained. We replaced the term training with
 the two concepts used by the ISO 9001:2015 standard: Competency and Awareness.
- Consolidated Requirement section 5 (Understating Open Source Engagements) from two requirements down to one. The semantics of the original two requirements have been preserved in a single newly worded requirement.
- Removed section title terms for Goal 1, Goal 2, ... Goal 6. They didn't represent goals as much as they represented facets of a program.
- Standardized on the term Open Source. The previous specification uses both terms "Open Source" and the "FOSS (Free and Open Source)" interchangeable. It was acknowledged that the term Open Source is more widely recognized and understood for the following reasons: i) Some users of the current specification have pointed out there was confusion between the term Open Source Software and FOSS. This was particularly true for first time readers; ii) Open Source represents a superset (inclusive); iii) The large majority of major foundations use the de facto term Open Source (e.g., Apache, Eclipse, OSI, Linux, OpenStack, Cloud Foundry, ...); iv) Most commercial organizations externally use the de facto term Open Source; v) It is also consistent with the term "Open Source Program Office" which represents a major target audience of the specification; vi) The term Open Source is de facto in Asia, largely used in North America and mixed yet dominant in Europe; Translations would be simplified by the use of a single term;
- Program Scope Declaration (section 1.4) was added. Provides the flexibility to construct a
 compliance program that best fits the scope of a given organization's needs. Some organizations
 could choose to maintain a compliance program for a specific product line while others could
 choose the program scope to govern software releases of the entire organization. Large
 organizations may prefer the former example while smaller organizations may prefer the latter.
- Changes Section 2 to deemphasize specific roles and emphasize expected tasks to be performed instead. For instance the Open Source Liaison role was removed. Because we are moving in the direction of ISO 9001, we needed to make the spec less prescriptive. However the responsibility remains which are described in requirements 2.1 and 2.2.
- Update the definition for Identified Licenses to make clear that the supplied software can range in open source composition from 0% to 100% open source.
- Updated requirement 3.1 text to make clear the bill of materials consist of open source components.
- Added a tag line to the title page to succinctly describe the essence of the spec in one sentence.
- Definitions "Program" and "OpenChain Conformant" were added.
- Minor edits were made throughout the document to improve readability.



1) Introduction

This specification defines the key requirements of a quality open source license compliance program. The objective is to provide a benchmark that builds trust between organizations exchanging software solutions comprised of open source software. Specification conformance provides assurance that a Program has been designed to produce the required Compliance Artifacts (*i.e.*, legal notices, source code and so forth) for each software solution. The OpenChain Specification focuses on the "what" and "why" aspects of a Program rather than the "how" and "when". This ensures flexibility for different organizations of different sizes in different markets to choose specific policy and process content that fits their size, goals and scope. For instance, an OpenChain Conformant Program may address a single product line or the entire organization.

This introduction provides the context for all potential users. Section 2 defines key terms used throughout the Specification. Section 3 defines the requirements that a Program must satisfy to achieve conformance. A requirement consists of one or more Verification Materials (i.e., records) that must be produced to satisfy the requirement. Verification Materials are not required to be made public, though an organization may choose to provide them to others, potentially under a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA).

The Specification is developed as an open initiative with feedback received from over 150 contributors. Insight into its historical development can be obtained by reviewing the Specification mailing list and Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs).

The OpenChain Initiative began in 2013 when a group of software supply chain open source practitioners observed two emerging patterns: 1) significant process similarities existed among organizations with mature open source compliance programs; and 2) there still remained a large number of organizations exchanging software with less developed programs. The latter observation resulted in a lack of trust in the consistency and quality of the Compliance Artifacts accompanying the software being exchanged. As a consequence, at each tier of the supply chain, downstream organizations were frequently redoing the compliance work already performed by other upstream organizations.

A study group was formed to consider whether a standard program specification could be created that would: i) facilitate greater quality and consistency of open source compliance information being shared across the industry; and ii) decrease the high transaction costs associated with open source resulting from compliance rework. The study group evolved into a work group, and in April 2016, formally organized as a Linux Foundation collaborative project.

The Vision and Mission of the OpenChain Initiative are as follows:

- **▼** Vision: A software supply chain where open source software (OSS) is delivered with trustworthy and consistent compliance information.
- Mission: Establish requirements to achieve effective management of open source software (OSS) for software supply chain participants, such that the requirements and associated collateral are developed collaboratively and openly by representatives from the software supply chain, open source community, and academia.



In accordance with the Vision and Mission, this specification defines a set of requirements that if met, would significantly increases the probability that an open source compliance program had achieved a sufficient level of quality, consistency and completeness; although a program that satisfies all the specification requirements does not guarantee full compliance. The requirements represent a base level (minimum) set of requirements a program must satisfy to be considered OpenChain Conforming. The specification focuses on the "what" and "why" qualities of a compliance program as opposed to the "how" and "when" considerations. This ensures a practical level of flexibility that enables different organizations to tailor their policies and processes to best fit their objectives.

Section 2 introduces definitions of key terms used throughout the specification. Section 3 presents the specification requirements where each one has a list of one or more Verification Materials. They represent the evidence that must exist in order for a given requirement to be considered satisfied. If all the requirements have been met for a given program, it would be considered OpenChain Conforming in accordance with version 1.2 of the specification. Verification Materials are not intended to be public, but could be provided under NDA or upon private request from the OpenChain organization to validate conformance.

Additional clarification on how to interpret the specification can be obtained by reviewing the Specification Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) located at: https://www.openchainproject.org/specification-faq



2) Definitions

<u>"Compliance Artifacts"</u> - a collection of artifacts <u>which that</u> represent the output of the <u>Open Source</u> management <u>pP</u>rogram for <u>thea</u> Supplied Software <u>release</u>. The collection may include (but <u>are is</u> not limited to) one or more of the following: source code, attribution notices, copyright notices, copy of licenses, modification notifications, written offers, Open Source component bill of materials, <u>and SPDX</u> documents <u>and so forth</u>.

FOSS (Free and Open Source Software) — software subject to one or more licenses that meet the Open Source Definition published by the Open Source Initiative (OpenSource.org) or the Free Software Definition (published by the Free Software Foundation) or similar license.

FOSS Liaison - a designated person who is assigned to receive external FOSS inquires.

<u>"Identified Licenses"</u> - a set of <u>FOSS Open Source Software</u> licenses identified as a result of following an appropriate method of identifying <u>Open Source licenses components from which the Supplied Software is comprised that govern the Supplied Software</u>.

<u>"OpenChain ConformConformanting Program"</u> — a <u>program Program</u> that satisfies all the requirements of this specification.

"Open Source" - software subject to one or more licenses that meet the Open Source Definition published by the Open Source Initiative (OpenSource.org) or the Free Software Definition (published by the Free Software Foundation) or similar license.

<u>"Program"</u> – the set of policies, processes and personnel that manage an organization's Open Source license compliance activities.

"Software Staff" - any <u>organization</u> employee or contractor that defines, contributes to or has responsibility for preparing Supplied Software. Depending on the organization, that may include (but is not limited to) software developers, release engineers, quality engineers, product marketing and product management.

"SPDX" or Software Package Data Exchange - the format standard created by the Linux Foundation's SPDX (Software Package Data Exchange) Working Group for exchanging license and copyright information for a given software package. A description of the SPDX specification can be found at www.spdx.org.

"Supplied Software" - software that an organization delivers distributes to third parties (e.g., other organizations or individuals).

"Verification Materials" - evidence that must exist in order for materials that demonstrate that a given requirement to be considered satisfied.





3) Requirements

Goal 1.0: Know Your Open Source Responsibilities Program Foundation

1.1 Policy

A written Open Source policy exists that governs Open Source license compliance of the Supplied Software-distribution. The policy must be internally communicated.

Verification Material(s):

	1.1.1 A documented	Open	Source	policy	/.
--	--------------------	------	--------	--------	----

□ 1.1.2 A documented procedure that makes Software Staff aware of the existence of the Open Source policy (*e.g.*, via training, internal wiki, or other practical communication method).

Rationale:

To ensure steps are taken to create, record and make Software Staff aware of the existence of an Open Source policy. Although no requirements are provided here on what should be included in the policy, other sections may impose requirements on the policy.

Mandatory Open Source training for all Software Staff exists such that:

The training, at a minimum, covers the following topics:

The Open Source policy and where to find a copy;

Basics of Intellectual Property law pertaining to Open Source and Open Source licenses;

Open Source licensing concepts (including the concepts of permissive and copyleft licenses);

Open Source project licensing models;

Software Staff roles and responsibilities pertaining to Open Source compliance specifically and the Open Source policy in general; and

Process for identifying, recording and/or tracking of Open Source components contained in Supplied Software.

Software Staff must have completed Open Source training within the last 24 months to be considered — current ("Currently Trained"). A test may be used to allow Software Staff to satisfy the training requirement.

1.2 Competence

The organization shall:

- Identify the roles and the corresponding responsibilities of those roles that affects the performance and effectiveness of the Program;
- Determine the necessary competence of person(s) fulfilling each role
- Ensure that these persons are competent on the basis of appropriate education, training, and/or experience;
- Where applicable, take actions to acquire the necessary competence; and
- Retain appropriate documented information as evidence of competence.

Verification Material(s):1.2.1 Open Source training materials covering the above topics (e.g., slide decks, online course, or other training materials).

1.2.2 Documented method for tracking the completion of the training for the Software Staff.



Rationale:

85% may not necessarily refer to the entire organization, but to the totality Software Staff governed by the OpenChain Conforming program.
☐ 1.2.1 A documented list of roles with corresponding responsibilities for the different
participants in the Program.
1.2.2 A document that identifies the competencies for each role.
☐ 1.2.3 Documented evidence of assessed competence for each Program participant.
Rationale:
To ensure the Software Staff have recently attended Open Source training and that a core set of
relevant Open Source topics were covered in the training. The intent is to ensure a core base
level set of topics are covered but a typical training program would likely be more
comprehensive than what is required here.
To ensure that the identified participants fulfilling Program roles have obtained a sufficient level
of competence for their respected roles and responsibilities.
 b) Relevant Open Source objectives; c) Their contribution to the effectiveness of the Program; and d) The implications of not following the Program's requirements. Verification Material(s): 1.3.1 Documented evidence of assessed awareness for each Program personnel including the Program's objectives, ones contribution within the Program, and implications of Program non-conformance.
110gram non comormance.
Rationale: To ensure Program personnel have obtained a sufficient level of awareness for their respected roles and responsibilities within the Program.



To provide the flexibility to construct a Program that best fits the scope of an organization's needs. Some organizations could choose to maintain a Program for a specific product line while others could implement a Program to govern the Supplied Software of the entire organization.

1.53 License Obligations

A process exists for reviewing the Identified Licenses to determine the obligations, restrictions and rights granted by each license.

Verification Material(s):

□ 1.53.1 A documented procedure to review and document the obligations, restrictions and rights granted by each Identified License.

Rationale:

To ensure a process exists for reviewing and identifying the license obligations for each Identified License for the various use cases an organization may encounter (as defined in requirement 3.2).



2.0: Relevant Tasks Defined and Supported Assign Responsibility for Achieving Compliance

2.1 Access

Maintain a process to effectively respond to external Open Source inquiries. Publicly identify a means by which a third party can make an Open Source compliance inquiry.

2.1 Identify External Open Source Liaison Function ("Open Source Liaison").

Assign individual(s) responsible for receiving external Open Source inquiries;

Open Source Liaison must make commercially reasonable efforts to respond to Open Source compliance inquiries as appropriate; and

Publicly identify a means by which one can contact the Open Source Liaison

Verification Material(s):

- □ 2.1.1 Publicly visible method <u>that allows</u> any third party <u>to make an Open Source license</u> <u>compliance inquiry</u> (*e.g.*, via a published contact email address, or the Linux Foundation's Open Compliance Directory).
- □ 2.1.2 An internal documented procedure that assigns responsibility for for responding to receiving third party Open Source license compliance inquiries.

Rationale:

To ensure there is a reasonable way for third parties to contact the organization with regard to Open Source compliance inquiries and that this the organization is prepared to effectively responding the prepared to effectively assigned.

2.2 Effectively Resourced

Identify and Resource Program Task(s):

- Assign accountability to ensure the successful execution of Program tasks.
- Program tasks are sufficiently resourced:
 - Time to perform the tasks have been allocated; and
 - Adequate funding has been allocated.
- A process exists for reviewing and updating the policy and supporting tasks;
- Legal expertise pertaining to Open Source license compliance is accessible to those who may need such guidance; and
- A process exists for the resolution of Open Source license compliance issues.
- 2.2 Identify Internal Open Source Compliance Role(s).

Assign individual(s) responsible for managing internal Open Source compliance. The Open Source Compliance role and the Open Source Liaison may be the same individual.

Open Source compliance management activity is sufficiently resourced:

Time to perform the role has been allocated; and

Commercially reasonable budget has been allocated.

Assign responsibilities to develop and maintain Open Source compliance policy and processes;

Legal expertise pertaining to Open Source compliance is accessible to the Open Source Compliance role (e.g., could be internal or external); and

A process exists for the resolution of Open Source compliance issues.

Verification Material(s):

□ 2.2.1 Document with name of persons, group or function in Open Source Compliance Program role(s) identified.



2.2.2 The identified Program roles have been properly staffed and adequate funding
provided.
2.2.2_3_Identification of legal expertise available to address Open Source <u>license</u>
<u>c</u> Compliance matters which could be internal or external.
2.2.3 4 A documented procedure that assigns internal responsibilities for Open Source
compliance.
2.2.4-5_A documented procedure for handling the review and remediation of non-compliant
cases.

Rationale:

To ensure: <u>i) Open Source complianceProgram</u> responsibilities <u>have beenare</u> effectively <u>assigned supported</u> and resourced and <u>ii) policies</u> and <u>supporting processes are regularly updated to accommodate changes in Open Source compliance best practices.</u>



Goal 3:3.0 Review and Approve Open Source Content Review and Approval

3.1 Bill of Materials

A process exists for creating and managing a bill of materials which that includes each Open Source component (and its Identified Licenses) from which the Supplied Software is comprised.

Verification Material(s):

- □ 3.1.1 A documented procedure for identifying, tracking, reviewing, approving and archiving information about the collection of Open Source components from which a-the Supplied Software release is comprised.
- □ 3.1.2 Open Source component records for each the Supplied Software release which that demonstrates the documented procedure was properly followed.

Rationale:

To ensure a process exists for creating and managing a Open Source component bill of materials used to construct the Supplied Software. A bill of materials is needed to support the systematic review <u>and approval</u> of each component's license terms to understand the obligations and restrictions as it applies to the distribution of the Supplied Software.

3.2 <u>License Compliance</u>

The Open Source management programProgram must be capable of handling managing common Open Source license use cases encountered by Software Staff for Supplied Software, which may include the following use cases (note that the list is neither exhaustive, nor may all of the use cases apply):

- distributed in binary form;
- distributed in source form;
- integrated with other Open Source such that it may trigger copyleft obligations;
- contains modified Open Source;
- contains Open Source or other software under an incompatible license interacting with other components within the Supplied Software; and/or
- contains Open Source with attribution requirements.

Verification Material(s):

□ 3.2.1 A documented procedure for handling the common Open Source license use cases for the Open Source components of the Supplied Software.

Rationale:

To ensure the program is sufficiently robust to handle an organization's common Open Source license use cases. That a procedure exists to support this activity and that the procedure is followed.



Goal 4:4.0 Deliver Open Source Content Documentation and Compliance Artifacts Creation and Delivery

4.1 Compliance Artifacts

A process exists for creating the set of Compliance Artifacts for each the Supplied Software release.

Verification Material(s):

- □ 4.1.1 A documented procedure that <u>documents the process under whichensures</u> the Compliance Artifacts are prepared and distributed with the Supplied Software <u>release</u> as required by the Identified Licenses.
- □ 4.1.2 A documented procedure for archiving copies of the Compliance Artifacts of the Supplied Software where the archive is planned to exist for a reasonable period of time since 24 months past the last offer of the Supplied Software; or as required by the Identified Licenses (whichever is longer). Records exist that demonstrate the procedure has been properly followed 4.1.2 Copies of the Compliance Artifacts of the Supplied Software release are archived and easily retrievable, and the archive is planned to exist for at least as long as the Supplied Software is offered or as required by the Identified Licenses (whichever is longer).

Rationale:

To <u>ensure institute reasonable commercial efforts in</u> the complete collection of Compliance Artifacts accompany the Supplied Software as required by the Identified Licenses <u>along with other reports created as part of the Open Source review process</u>.

¹ determined by domain, legal jurisdiction and/or customer contracts



Goal 5: 5.0 Understanding Open Source Community Engagements

5.1 Contributions

If an organization permits contributions to Open Source projects then

- a written policy exists that governs contributions to Open Source projects;
- the policy must be internally communicated; and
- a process exists that implements the policy

٧	'erif	ficat	ion I	Mat	terial	(s)):

<u>If</u>	ar	า or	gani	zation	ı permi	ts c	ontri	butio	ons to	<u>0</u>)pen	Sou	rce	pro	<u>jects</u>	then	the	fol	lowii	ng	must	exis	it:
		5.1	.1 a	docur	nented	Ор	oen So	ource	e con	tril	butio	on po	olic	<u>y;</u>									

□ 5.1.2 a documented procedure that governs Open Source contributions; and

5.1.3	a docum	ented procedu	ure that	make	s all	Software	Staff aw	are of	the	existen	ce of the
Open	Source	contribution	policy	(e.g.,	via	training,	interna	l wiki,	or	other	practical
comm	unicatio	n method).									

Rationale:

When an organization permits Open Source contributions we want to ensure the organization has given reasonable consideration to developing and implementing a contribution policy. The Open Source contribution policy can be made a part of the overall Open Source policy or be its own separate policy.

A written policy exists that governs contributions to Open Source projects by the organization. The policy must be internally communicated.

Verification Material(s):

- □ 5.1.1 A documented Open Source contribution policy;
- ∃ 5.1.2 A documented procedure that makes all Software Staff aware of the existence of the Open Source contribution policy (e.g., via training, internal wiki, or other practical communication method).

Rationale:

To ensure an organization has given reasonable consideration to developing a policy with respect to publicly contributing to Open Source. The Open Source contribution policy can be made a part of the overall Open Source policy of an organization or be its own separate policy. In the situation where contributions are limited or not permitted at all, a policy should exist making that position clear.

5.2 If an organization permits contributions to Open Source projects then a process exists that implements the Open Source contribution policy outlined in Section 5.1.

Verification Material(s):

5.2.1 Provided the Open Source contribution policy permits contributions, a documented procedure that governs Open Source contributions.



Rationale:

To ensure an organization has a documented process for how the organization publicly contributes Open Source. A policy may exist such that contributions are not permitted at all. In that situation it is understood that no procedure may exist and this requirement would nevertheless be met.



Goal 6:6.0 **Certify** Adherence to <u>the OpenChain Specification</u> Requirements

6.1 Conformance

In order for an organization to be a Program to be deemed OpenChain CertifiedConformant, it the organization must affirm that it has an Open Source managementthe program that meets satisfies the criteria requirements described presented in this specification OpenChain Specification version 2.0.

Verification Material(s):

6.1.1 An <u>document</u> affirm<u>ingation of the existence of an Open Source management the</u> <u>program Program specified in requirement 1.4 that meetssatisfies</u> all the requirements of this <u>document</u>, OpenChain Specification version 2.0.

Rationale:

To ensure that if an organization declares that it has a program that is OpenChain Conforming, that such program has met <u>all</u> the requirements of this specification. The mere meeting of a subset of these requirements would not be considered sufficient.

6.2 **Duration**

A Program that is OpenChain ConformanConformantee with this version of the specification will last 18 months from the date conformance validation was achieved obtained. The Conformance validation validation registration requirements procedure can be found on the OpenChain project's website.

Verification Material(s):

□ 6.2.1 A document affirming the existence of a Open Source management program the Program that meets all the requirements of this document, OpenChain Specification version 2.0, within the past 18 months of achieving obtaining conformance validation.

Rationale:

It is important for the organization to remain current with the specification if that organization wants to assert program conformance over time. This requirement ensures that the program's supporting processes and controls do not erode if the an conforming organization continues to assert program conformance over time.



Appendix I: Language Translations

To facilitate global adoption we welcome efforts to translate the specification into multiple-different languages. Because OpenChain functions as an open source project translations are driven by those willing to contribute their time and expertise to perform translations under the terms of the CC-BY 4.0 license and the project's translation policy. The details of the policy and available translations can be found on the OpenChain project specification webpage.